G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references

192 .

( )

File No. 531

Serial Nos. 8 & 89

Later references.

1924-25 1-4
31- 23
121 - 24
126 25-1-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6--25

Part B for Oct. 22

SUBJECT

Mr. Swamy Natha Iyer
at Nagore

Govt. No. 221-3 Mun. 531-18-25
dt. 18-7-22 Grant Certain
Concessions to the Nagore Dargah
Swamy Temple.

G.R.S. 11] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 531-18

FILE HEADING.

Affairs of the Sri Someswaraswami temple at Nagar

From

The Dy Comm, Bangalore Dt

No. P 1336-18-18 Dated 19.6.20 Received 27.6.20

Diary No. 2639	Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 8

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Furnishes certain information regarde the present condition of the affairs of the Sri Someswara Swamy temple at Nagar and states that proposals for its better management would be submitted later on after obtaining the opinion of the Dharmadarsis of the temple, who will be consulted shortly.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The question of putting the affairs of the temple in question was raised by Mr Harnath Sreenivasamurti Rao and

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6 12

R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue File No. 531 of 1919.

Serial No.

a meeting of the Imperial Legislative Council and the Hy Comm of the Bengalese Dr. was requested to report in detail about the endowments of the temple and on the worship therein. Submitted for orders

C.S. 7/7/20

The Hy Comm's report which explanatory [illegible] all the points raised by the Revenue Dept may be recorded

For Orders

7.7.20

Secy)

Secretary) 13/7/20

299-298

13.7.20

The Hy Comm's report may be noted. It is not usual to make the information available in such cases to the member who interpellates? Mr. K. Veerabhadra Rao is to be given a seat in the L.C. but I think it would be necessary and courtesy to let him know that the publication in the Ch. Secy. may be communicated however

Revenue

14.7.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 19

Serial No.

Chief Secy with compliments

U/14/7/20

There is no objection to sending a reply to Mr. K. M. Rao as a matter of courtesy.

GH 17/7/20

I submit a draft

U/17/7/20

17/7/20

CC 23/7

Draft submitted

U/17/7/20 RG 23/7/20.

The file was pending for ascertaining whether some money can be spared out of the current year's Salati grant and the realisations from the Mus. Fund for the repairs of this mosque. The following works are urgent and the Circle may have to be taken from these funds:—

1 Jumma Masjid at Negapatam. Rs. 5,000 + Rs.

2. Temples at Nannilam — which require repairs as observed by the Muzrai Dept. Proposals are awaited

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mer File No. 531 of 1918

Serial No.

3) Repairs of the Lakshmi Haram - 3200 Rs
The sum and which will hardly
be 5000 Rs is hardly sufficient
for a large no. of cists recommended
by the Dy Comr of Works the renovated
10 Nos the large amt of Rs 7000 Rs
stood req^d for the repairs of the
Sennewara Temple at Magedi
Cannot be met from the Kattaram
particularly as no contributions
are forthcoming from the devotees.
~~The~~ The Rajah already
up ~~the~~ ~~case~~ is worked for appeal
a crores. If the devotees Contribute
Rs
9.10.20 a half, part may pay the other moiety.

Second Member). 9/10/20

2325-285.
15/10/20

The draft is much too long winded.
It may be revised & a typed copy put
up for approval.

Rest
16.10.20 16/10/20

Revised draft submitted. After
approval by Secy it will be
typed before submn to member

Rs.
19/10/20 19/10/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Irrig File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

Typed draft put up
C.C.
20/10/20.
(Second Member) 21/10/2

2483-143
24.10.20.

18 The draft may be revised in the light of the discussion on this subject at the recent session of the R.A. Mo. it was ad. Expressly stated that the estimate would be sanctioned yet a hope was held out that something would be done to save the temple from complete destruction.

Reel
2.11.20.

Amt
3-11-20

H D

1 A note of the discussion is put up.
2 It is seen that the devotees are prepared to contribute. (on actual payment) This contribution may be supplemented by a state grant to an equal amount. Expert Ascertain
3 The Dy. C may be asked what the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.-S.-III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mun—File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No

drafts are prepared to Contin-
-ute.

Asst
5.7.420

Secy)

2nd Please put up a revised
Disp as directed by the Member
RJ 6/11/20

3rd Land Revenue Commissioner is invited to the Enumeration of the Subject put up below and to the office letter No 15218 dated the 4th Nov 1920 on the limited issue. Upon the reply of the Director of Statistics being received before an Order is issued the Revised Distribution may be finalised and the Executive Officers may be informed that the Provisional Distribution will be maintained for the year 1921.

D

X

NOTE—Contn sheet.

6/11/2093/34

76 39

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mung. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

Please put up a draft of letter to Dr Ashis if the devotees are prepared to contribute a moiety of the cost of the present Estimate of Rs 7500 -

ATR 17-11-20

Brought forward for orders

8L 18/11/20

See letter from Dr read today & work up the case in Cont Br

ATR 24-11-20

From the Deputy Comr, Bangalore Dt. No. P. 605 of 20-21 d. 22.11.20: ——

states that Mr. Kannik Krishnamurthi Rao of Magadi has presented a petition to his office similar to the one sent to the Mysore Palace requesting (1) that a grant of Rs. 7500 for the repairs of the above temple entirely may be sanctioned from the general Mysore Funds (2) that a clear space of 100 feet is required all round

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

round the temple for the Rathothsavam and that another 100 feet all round for building two rows of houses facing in opposite directions with a conservancy lane between may be acquired by Govt, (3) that the present annual cash grant of Rs. 64 paid on account of Establt. charges may be increased to Rs. 736 per annum, (4) that fees may be levied on cattle and taxes imposed on shops during the time of the annual jatras in the interests of the temple & to meet the expenses of sanitary arrangements, and (5) lastly that the temple may be treated as a minor muzrai inst[n] and Dharmakarta appointed thereto excluding the Amildar, and submits his recommendations thereon for the orders of Govt.

7 As regards the question of whether the devotees are prepared to contribute towards a moiety of the estimated cost of Rs. 7508 for the temple repairs, Mr. Kanniah Krishnamurthi Rao has requested that the entire cost should be met from the general Muzrai Funds and the Deputy Director of Archæology has supported his request. The matter has also been submitted to the Director for orders.

MR.
26.11.18.

1196

G. R.S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 575 of 1916.

Serial No. 8

The penultimate paragraphs are:-

1) A grant of 1500 Rs for the improvements of the temple for Mrs Trusts. The Revenue are asked to the enquiring & Contribute anything

2) Acquisition of 100 ft of ground around the temple for the Rathotsavam and of 100 ft in addn for building houses

3) Enhancement of the present Cash grant of Rs 64 to Rs 136 per year for Establishment, Paditara Theepanadham and Rathotsavam

4) Remission of fees upon cattle stalls at the Jatra. This is expected to bring in an income of Rs 150 per year

5) Treating the temple as a minor Institution & appointing the following Gentlemen as Trustees viz:-

(1) Mr K. Krishnamachariar
(2) " Sriyan Rangasami Naidu
(3) " Patel Subbaraya
(4) " K Channaiya Setti
(5) " Pleader T Ramachandra
(6) The Head of the

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S.—III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Irrig. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

1

For orders
allp
26/Jly/20

Copy)

30 Point 1 of ~~Re~~ Para 28

It is not possible to Contribute the whole of the Estimated Cost viz Rs. 7000 odd, which as usual will require to be supplemented by a further Grant after the work is completed, from the State Grant. Generally the Ryotees Contribute a half or even a larger proportion of the entire Cost and request Govt to Contribute the balance. To meet even such demands, the State Grant is found insufficient. It does not seem Sound policy to Contribute the whole of the Cost required for the repairs of the Anicuts &c.

I understood Mr. K. K. M Says that a lot of private money had been spent on the purpose - a preliminary measure. This money he writes, cannot be termed as expenditure over the Estimate even three years?

Yes -

Point No 2

The Lands referred to may be inspe-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R. S.211-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwz. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

If the Cost of Acquisition is deposited in the first instance by the persons interested in the Scheme, after the lands are acquired, ~~the~~ what is required for the Car Procession may be reserved and the remaining portion sold by auction, and after the sale proceeds are realized, the deposit now made for Acquisition may be refunded and the balance, if any, credited to the Temple Funds. Houses can be permitted to be built on the sites bought & sale out on approved Sanitary lines.

I agree

Rees

Third Point

No encroachment of the Tank is possible. The Dharma Dharisi ~~of~~ of the Tirumalai Devasthanam, Tirupati, offer to lend Rs 25- per mensem for a period of five years to the Tirupati Devasthanam and this may be thankfully accepted

I agree

Rees

Fourth Point

33

Dy. E.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1126 12

G. R. S.-III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwz. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

Fees on Cattle Assembling at the Jatra Time may be authorized a suitable scale being fixed in consultation with the D. Commr

Fifth Grant

The Mahalkaran can be treated as a Minor and if its income is not less than Rs 100 nor above Rs 1000, but the D.C. has not stated that it is in receipt of Rs 100 or more. further enquiry on this point is necessary

The D's report shows that other fees on Cattle are authorized to be levied, the temple may get about Rs 150 in average yearly this source; but if so, the D.C. may be asked to review his recommendation after the temple is granted an annual income of Rs. [illegible]

Secd Member 11/12/20

3102-24
1.12.20

Vide marginal remarks above

Sec
3.12.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.&S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 5 31 of 1918.

D.1180

Serial No. 10

Petition d. 24.11.20 (addressed to the Chief Sec't to Govt) from Mr. Kavnik Krishnamurti Rao of Magadi. — Invites attention to the promise made by Hon. P. Raghavendra Rao, 2nd Member of Council, at the October session of the Dasara Representative Assembly held at Mysore and prays that the repairs to the above temple may be ordered to be ~~executed~~ commenced either in this or the next month before the raing season sets in, as otherwise the building will fall down and cause damage to the Vigrahas as well as to (Image & Sannety of danger) devotees visiting the temple and that he may be informed of the (action taken in this) matter.

D.1181

11

Petition d. 24.11.20 addressed to the Mysore Govt Govt Council in Mysore from the same party. —

States that it is proposed to acquire Survey nos. 4, 4/1, 4/2 surrounding the temple and prays that Survey No. 36 which is in front of the temple may also be acquired as it is at present found inconvenient to go to the temple from the public road, and that the temple repairs may be ordered to be carried out soon before the raing season sets in

Secretary's order on the latter petition: —
"Place in file and make a note of this."

Jd. O.E.J.
26.11.20.

256

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Muz. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

3) A draft letter of a reply to the D.C. on the lines of the Secretary's note and as approved by the 2nd Member is put up and file submitted for orders.

3) As 2 more petitions have since been received from Mr. Kannik Krishnamurthi Das who has asked for a reply in the matter, a copy of the above letter will if approved be sent to him for information.

Submitted for orders.

MAR

15.12.20

3) Submitted with a clean draft as revised by the Under-Secretary

MAR

19.1.21

BL 11/1/21

[illegible] 11-1-21

[illegible]

[illegible] 11/1/21

3668.62
19-1-21

Draft approved by the Dewan

13.1.21

[illegible] 14/1/21

[illegible] 14-1-21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Army File No. 531 of 1918

Serial No.	
12	To. The Census Superintendent No. 1504 dated the 1/21 Enclosing Rules for Census, Enumerators with a copy each of Census Act, the Census Notification and Instructions for Enumerators & Supervisors in connection with the Census of Cattle & Sheep, Pig & Poultry & Cattle ~~Enumerators~~ Census in Ahmednagar District.
13	O. No. 210 G. 23130-6(1)/RA-33-20. dated the 24th May 1921 from the offg Chief Secretary to Govt. forwarding for necessary action, a statement of promises made by the Dewan to the Representative Assembly at the Sessions of May & October 1920. ~~List~~ Serial No 247 of the list is the only subject on which action has to be taken in the ~~Income~~ Department, - Enquiries

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

in this office letter No 2564 dated the 24th January 1921, the Dy Comm of the Bangalore District was definitely informed that the sum required for the repairs of this institution could not be sanctioned from State funds entirely and that the question of contribution towards the said repairs would be considered only if the devotees described in the Treasury a moiety of the estimated cost of the repairs. The Dy Comm's report is awaited Submd for orders.

3/6/21

Newspaper marked forwarded to the Rep: assembly Section q. Mr Chidambaram & note & return.

3/6/21

Notes & correspondence

Secretariat

6/6/21

6/6/21

The file will be placed under the Dy Comm's proceedings.

7/6/21

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inwd. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.	
14	From The Asst. Commr., Bangalore Dt. Letter No P 605/20-21 Dt 11.10.1921 Submits the proposals called for in Sl 12 and states that a fee of one anna per head of cattle and a Shop Tax as in the case of the Sri Narasimhaswami Jatra at Suggandahalli may be levied. The orders of Govt. conveyed in Sl. No. 12 were communicated to Mr Ramiah Krishnamurthi and his reply received from him is sent in original. The reply is to the following effect:- (i) Grant of Rs 7500/- for repairing the Temple. Mr. Krishnamurthi Rao submits that removing the debris and the plants that had grown in abundance within the temple has cost the villagers nearly Rs 1000/- and that repairing the road in the tope in front of the temple amounts Rs 1000/- and therefore they are unable to contribute anything towards the repair of the main building and that at least the two Gopurams and the Prakaram round the temple should be repaired at Govt. Cost; (ii) Acquisition of trees for Kalleshwara &c.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

H.R.S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwz. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

law as here are as his property of his trust, and with a view of giving him for that purpose, the fullest discretion of dealing with the properties of the trust in such manner as he may consider to be most advantageous for the purposes of the trust, I have decided to advance from the Treasury the amount required by him for the purchase of the properties mentioned in his letter. I am willing to advance the required amount provided that the ownership of the land and the income derived by the sale of tiles and during [illegible] is made over to Government for paradising the Car weighed in Lac always.

(iii) Recovery of the Loan: Excepting the prospective income under the [illegible], there is no other means by which the amount to be advanced for the Tirumalai temple purposes could be made good. Mr Krishnamurthi Rao quotes certain instances in which funds of one institution have been lent to another with or without adequate security and requests that on the analogy and on the responsibility of the Mahant suitable necessary amounts may be advanced—

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mvz. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No. 9

(ii) Collection of fees during Jatra &c. — Mr. Krishna Murti Rao is agreeable to the proposal — has furnished a table of fees for different kinds of Shops.

(iii) The amildar has recommended that the fees levied during Jatra as Appanabelli at the Nagadi &c may be adopted. Hirades Stand as follows:—

Item	Mr K. Rao's proposal	Appanabelli rates
Cattle	0-2-0	0-1-0
Sweetmeat Shop		
1st Class	4-4-0	3-0-0
2nd Class	3-4-0	2-0-0
3rd Class	2-0-0	
Provision Shop	3-0-0	
Hotel &c	1-0-0	0-8-0
Tailor	1-8-0	1-0-0.
Vegetable, betel-leaves	0-1-0	
Copper Articles &c	2-0-0	
Glass bangles &c	1-0-0	
Cycle &c	4-0-0	2-0-0
Iron articles &c	1-0-0	
Blanket, Carpet	1-0-0	2-0-0.
Misc. Shops	0-4-0	
Dramatic, Circus &c Companies	5-0-0	

NOTE—Contn sheet.

III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cuddapah. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

(vi) Treatment of temple by Mr. Krishna Murthi Rao As a minor Institution says that the charmadarsi Panel be immediately appointed in view of the approaching Jatra and that the present state is due to a large extent to the absence of any responsible person or persons who could look after the Collection of Contribution from devotees, Conduct of utsavas and the Collection of taxes during Jatra &c

Mr. Krishna Murthi says in Conclusion that the temple deserves to be kept in good repair always as it was founded by the late Temple Trustee of Allagadda.

Subject for orders

19/10/18

As this is an important temple, the keep of fees recommended by the Committee may be sanctioned. What else can be done to [illegible]

[illegible]

This matter was mooted at the recent Board Session of the R.A. by the Krishna Murthy & he was told that [illegible] and receive a lien lien. I have made [illegible]

2397-33
20.10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[G.R.S./III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Miscl. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.

Re Meeting Secy at the time that he might arrange & meet Mr. K. K. Re & the D.C. Bilaspur that there if a practical solution to the question could not be found. This may be done as & Early also.

Secy
25.10.18.

Bpunch
I spoke over the 28 inst at 4 P.M. Please keep me all the necessary Rep files at that hour
26/10

C.C. 28.10.18

I saw Mr D Cannon today. He said he would come a few days later, after inspecting the temple.

Last Monday (7th Nov) was then fixed, but Mr D Cannon's Mg had agreed & came said that he had urgent business at Chennapatna and [illegible] up

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet.

273 22

[illegible] III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mysz File No. 521 of 19

Serial No.

Meeting on 10 March 1921
The appellant is a Cashier of the Srirangam Co. of the Mad. Co-operative Bank and has appealed against the order of the Commissioner dismissing his application for the grant of a loan of Rs 25 to the Srirangam Temple.

The application was made on behalf of the Committee of the Srirangam temple that they are entitled to grant of a loan of Rs 25 per mensem to the Srirangam

D 30931 3094

T. R. S.-III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inwd. File No. 53 of 1921

Serial No.	
	(1) Rayavarden Jud. Dy 27.12.21 Put up with D.O. No. 3234 dt 21.12.21 of the Dy. Collector of Trichy requesting him to send his report and recommendations and requesting his opinion. U.J 27/12/21
	(2) Rayavarden Jud. Dy 30/12/21 Send U.J 30/12/21
Do issued Jun 15	To The Dy: Collr, Karaikudi D°. D.O. no: 3234 dt 31.12.21. Calls for his opinion re the Uppiliappan Temple at Nagadi. —
	From The Dy: Collr, Karaikudi D° D.O. no: C.6 dt 27.1.22 States that he would submit his report early. —
16	From — do — D.O. no: 695 dt 2. Feb: 22 Solicits sanction for the collection of voluntary subscriptions for repairs of the Temple

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D 3093&5094.

24

Bl. R.S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mmz File No. 531 of 1918-19

Serial No.

1) Draft G.O. subd
Sd 3.2.22. 2) The Jatra is vsd & Come off on the 10th inst. & the 3rd Comr recommends, that at the instance of Mr. Kanniah Krishna Murthy Rau, that a committee of 5 influential gentlemen may be appd. for collecting contributions during the Jatra for the repairs of the temple building.
3) Draft order approving the Report directing the early submn of the report already called for is subd for appr or other orders.
Sd
3/2/22
Secretary

4083-53
3.2.22

) Draft approved
Sd
6.2.22. Issue at once
Sd 6/2/22

17. To D.C. Bangalore, Comptr & Mines Dept
No 4237-9 Dt 6.2.22
Sanctions, with certain substitutions, the D's (illegible) in the Muzrai in Chitradurga

Mr. Secy for
email
Sd
7/2/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Sd 8/2/22

3945

R.S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.	
18	From the Deputy Commr., Bangalore Dt. No. P. 605 of 21-22 d. 14.3.22. —— Forwards copy of petition d. 21.2.22 presented by Mr. Kanniki Krishnamurti Rao stating that very little work was done during the gatna of the above temple as the govt order was received late and praying that the Committee formed to collect contributions may be permitted to do so from all devotees in the State, and requests sanction of govt to Mr. Krishnamurti Rao's proposal till the end of June 1922. (1) Submit for orders with the connected file. (2) The D.C. may be replied 18.3.22. that Govt have no objn to the Committee appd in the G.O. 2nd cited containing to collect contributions for the improvement of the temple till June 1922. (3) He will also be asked separately to send up his reply to the Govt letter No. 3234 of 31.12.21. (D.O.)

Before expiration of [illegible]

86
18/3/22

Draft 21/3/22

3945 Dis... 26

[G.R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 531 of 1918.

Serial No.	
	(8) Draft letter submitted. The Rapporteur for appl.n or order, 20.3.22. Sd/- 20/3/22.
	Second Member) WJ 21/3/22.
5-05-6-235 25.3.22	(9) Draft to be approved. Sd/- 27.3.22. Sd/- 27/3/22
19	From Mr. Karuik Krishna Murthi Petn. dt 24.3.22. Prays for certain Concessions in the matter of Collecting Subscriptions
20	To The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore no: 5329 dt 30th March 22 States that Govt. have no objection to the Collection of Voluntary Contribution by the Committee of the Sri Somaswara Swami temple at Nagadi till the end of June 1922
	(5) In the paper under disposal, Mr. Karuik Krishna murthi Rao has applied for certain Concessions for Collecting Subscriptions. A draft forwarding the petn: dt: to the Dy: Commr: & Collector

Serial No.	
	for his opinion in the matter is submitted for approval Sd/ 31/3/22 Sd 31/3/22 Issue Sd: 31/3/22
21	To The Dy: Commr., Bangalore Dist no: 5478 dt 1st April 1922 Sir For needful action the petition of Mr Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao (vide ser. 19 above)
temple 3234 dt 31-12-21	
22	From the Dy Commr no. P. 605 dt 19.6.22. Submits report on the several representations of Mr K. Krishnamurthi Rao
23	From the Dy Commr no P. 605 dt 19.6.22 Sends back the draft order with certain modifications suggested. The Dy Commr makes the following recommendations in addn to those already made:- 1) That the renovation Committee which has been allowed to collect subscriptions for the initial cost of the temple upto Rs 5000 of Jewels may be allowed to do so - another Rs.

Sd/ 4/4/22

TV. Remarks sent on 30.3.22

Sd

marble

Serial No.

2. That a provision of Rs 3000/ may be sanctd at once for enabling the Committee to take up the renovation work this month.

3) That the present cart grant of Rs 64 p.a. may be increased to Rs 100/ p.a. so as to make it a minor hon.

A renovation Committee has been formed and it was sanctd. in G.O.No. 4239-91 Mun 531-18-1 dt 6.2.22 and its scope of operations were limited upto 30-6-22. Mr. K. Krishna Kunkolienker applied to Govt that (1) that Committee might be authorised to collect subscriptions within municipal limits. (2) that the accts be audited by the Dy Comr & Asst Comr the Municipality. (3) that there should be no time limit to collect subscriptions & (4) that they may be authorised to spend the surplus amt for ... purposes.

The Dy Comr reports that the first prayer has already been conceded that there is not much force in the second prayer, that the Committee may be authorised to collect subscriptions for another year in ...

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

And that as regards the 4th page, it might be reserved for consideration when the full contribution for the repairs of the temple is paid up.

A draft order is subd. for con. Education orders.

RG
20/6/2

That against the [illegible] repairs of the [illegible] Temple Committee Rs 2000, there is [illegible] Rs 2000 [illegible]

The Dy Com^r says that the Renovation Committee have collected 2000 Rs and recommend the grant of 3000 Rs from this years budget a sum of Rs 2000 has been proposed in the Dy Comr's letter as an [illegible] grant out of the State grant of this year. An estimate for the repairs has not been subd. [illegible] Dy.

RG
17/7.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No. 24

From the Bd Comr Rangalem Dt
ad Bot Bd 6.7.22 Recommends
that the scale of fees on the cattle
pitched during the Sri Ramanuja
Swami Temple Jatra be 2 as for
Cattle & 1 anna per calf. & that
the Shop Taxes be the same as those
levied on them in the Ranganatha
Swami Temple Jatra.

[illegible]
suitable action may be taken for
approval or reverse

Bd
10/7/22

Fair draft is sent.
Bd/12/7/22

406-406.
12.7.22.

Bd 12/7/22

Scrapbook proposed
Rec
10.7.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. S 31 of 19 18.

Serial No.

25 — No 221-3 & 18-1-22

To the D.C. Bangalore, Comptroller & the Mysore Superintendent

Save from the levy of fees on choppy cattle as recommended by the

= Chief Sec. forwarding copy of inspection 26 From, the Red Cross in Mysore.

Letter no. C. 666 dy 24-8-1922.

Recommends sanction of the acquisition of S. no 4 of Vaddaragalya, Magadi Taluk, for the improvement of the Sri Somesvara Swami Temple at Magadi.

The D.C.s says that the cost of acquisition may be met from the town funds which the municipality is said to be willing to surrender whenever required and that he will submit proposals for advancing the amount required on the security of the funds. The acquisition may be sanctioned and the D.C. asked to submit,

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R.S. 111-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 19

Serial No.

for Meeting the cost. The proposals for the purpose will have to be sanctioned by Govt.

Draft order submitted

Sd
1/9/22

The Accountant General may be requested to see whether sanction to the payment of the award is produced

Asst Member) Sd 4/9/22

2068-204.
9.7.22.

Sanctioned.
Such matters have to be dealt with by the Revenue Commissioner.

Sd
11-9-22. Sd 11/9/22

Issue
Sd 12/9/22

27 To Revenue Commissioner Mysore Bangalore No: 1179 dated 12/9/22
Enquiries of the amounts for the acquisition from here dated 7.9.22
D.O. dated 9/9/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 52:1 of 19

Serial No.	
28	From, the Dy. Comr, Bangalore Dist, D.O. no. P.608 dy 13.9.22. Points out the source from which the Cost of acquisition of the property required, may be met. Submits. 28/9/22
29	To The Dy: Comm[r], Bangalore District " Mysore Comm[r] in Mysore " Compliance to Govt. no: 1437-9 dy 9.10.22 Sanctions the acquisition of Sy-no: 4 of Vaddanapalya in the Magadi Taluk for the improvement of Sri Someswaraswami Temple at Magadi
	To. The Compiler, Mysore Gazette. Notn: no: 1440 dy 9.10.22 For the notification for publication in the Gazette.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P.1336
18-19 file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, the 18th June 1919.

2639
1920
Mer
531-18
8

From

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Rec'd 23 Jun 1919
142 To

LC
2576

T. F. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Mudrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1098/Mud.531-18-4 dated 30th September 1918, calling for a report on the several points, re: the affairs of Sri Someswara Swami Temple at Nagadi, interpellated by Dr. M. V. Krishnamurthi Rao in the Legislative Council Meeting held on the 18th May 1918, I have the honor to state as follows:-

Of the eight points raised by Dr. M. V. Krishnamurthi Rao during the course of the Legislative Meeting, 3 points viz., 1, 5 and 8 were replied to at the meeting by the Chief Secretary.

As regards the remaining points, the Amildar of Nagadi Taluk was called upon to furnish a detailed report. The Amildar has now reported that as regards point No.2 viz., Rathotsavam, it was usual hitherto to hold the ordinary utsavam on the day of the car festival, but during the year 1918-19, the car procession was actually celebrated owing to the great interest and devotion shown by Dr. M. V. Krishnamurthi Rao. He not only subscribed a decent amount towards the cost of the festival but also collected subscriptions from other devotees, in order to supplement the Government grant of Rs.15-7-0 provided for the occasion.

There was "Santharpane" and the usual "Utsavams" connected with the festival and every thing went off satisfactorily.

MSR

1917-20

From

The Deputy Commr.
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore Deputy
Dated 19th June 1917 Bangalore

Despatched 21

Received

General No. P. 1336
No. 1819

Enclosure No. 1 letter

Submits records - re
the affairs of Sri -
Someswara Swamy Inm
at Magadi -

Concerning item No.3 "Regular Puja", the Amildar has reported after ascertaining from the pujaries that for a long time past, - weekly puja alone is being held in the temple in as much as the temple is far away from the town and the monthly allotment which is only - Rs.4-6-0 is quite insufficient to meet the cost of daily worship. With a view to carefully consider the question, arrangements are - being made to appoint competent Dharmadarsis and on receipt of their opinion a further report will be submitted in this matter.

Item No.4, - Inam Villages:- From the Amildar's report it is - ascertained that except the existence of a "Sasana" on one of the stones in the"Garbhagudi" of the temple, there are no references available in the Taluk Office to show that Sripatrahalli and Jattenahalli were at - any time Inam Villages endowed to this temple. A reference was also made to the Inam Superintendent in the matter and he has replied (Vide his yadi No.215 dated 17th January 1920) that the two above villages are Government villages and that they were so even at the time of the Inam Settlement. He adds that there are no other Inam endowed to this - temple other than those two villages.

The last point is about the [illegible] leased out lands around the temple. The temple is situated in S.No.4 , a Government assessed land under occupation in Yadamangalva village. This land is locally known as "Nathada Dara Kola". A khanab of 5 guntas is reported out of the Survey Number for the portion of the temple. There is no reference to show that the survey number belonged to the temple at any time. As suggested by Mr. [illegible] Dist, the Amildar - recommends the acquisition of a portion of land to a width of 60 - yards, all round the temple for the purpose of "Pathetaram". This point will also be considered after obtaining the opinion of the - Dharmadarsies

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M A Narasimhaiya

Deputy Commissioner and
Ex-officio Secretary to Government.

R.V.P.

1128

No.

1920-21

SECTION Peer

FILE No. 9531-1926

SERIAL No. 9

1920-21

From The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dist

To

The Secy. to Govt
Irrigation Dept

Dated 22-11-20

Despatched 22-11-20

Received 24/11/20

General No. P. 6055 of 1920-21
No.

Enclosure No. One. V. file.

Certain repairs and improvements to Sri Someswara swamy temple at Nagasi as requested by Mr Ramiah Krishnamurthy Rao.

Please move

W/p Vby Dass
24/11/20

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District,

No..... Dated Bangalore, 22nd November '20

From

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Muzrai Superintendent's letter No.R.C.147 of 1920-21 dated 16th October 1920 forwarding the petition of Mr.Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao, re- the improvement to Sri Someswaraswami Temple at Magadi and in continuation of my previous letter No.P.1336 of 18-19 dated 9th June 1920 on the same subject, I have the honor to state as under -

2. Mr.Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao presented a similar petition to this office. The several requests contained in his petitions presented both to this office and the Government are:-

1.The grant of Rs.7,500/- for the repairs of the temple entirely from the general Muzrai Funds.

As the temple is an ancient institution and was once in a flourishing condition the cost of its repairs may be wholly met out of general Muzrai funds. The devotees have not come forward to pay any portion thereof.

2.Acquisition of survey No.4 of Vaddarpalya to a breadth of 250 feet allround the temple both for Rathotsavam and to build certain houses.

Mr.Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao represents that a clear space of 100 feet is required allround the temple for the Rathotsavam and that another 100 feet allround is required for building two rows of houses facing in opposite directions with a conservancy lane between. The Amildar reports that there is a demand for house building in the locality and that the entire survey No.4 of Vaddarpalya on which the

the temple is situated measuring 19 acres - 27 guntas as noted in the margin, may be acquired for the purpose. The rate of compensation will be about Rs.100/- an acre and much of the cost of the acquisition may be expected to be recouped by the sale of the sites for building houses.

S.No.4/1	7 acres - 10 guntas	Khatedar Nanjundayya & Anubhavadar Srinivasa Rao,
S.No.4/2	7 acres - 17 guntas	Khatedar Venkatranga & Anubhavadars himself & his two brothers Venkata and Mudli.
	5 acres ..	Kharab.
	19 acres - 27 guntas.	

3. Revision of the scale of establishment.

At present an annual cash grant of Rs.64/- is being paid for the Archak's pay, the Padithara Diparadhana and Rathotsavam. Mr.Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao's suggestions for the increase of the establishment amount to Rs.736/- a year as detailed in the margin. The Dharmadarsees of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Tirumale have resolved that a sum of Rs.25/- per month may be paid out of the funds of that temple for five years on condition that it should be repaid at the end of that period. But this proposal is not of any value as the loan will have to be repaid. The present cash grant of Rs.64/- has therefore to be increased to Rs.736/- from some other source in case Mr Krishnamurthy Rao's request is granted.

1. Archik at Rs.10/- a month	- Rs.120/-
2. Two watchmen at Rs.8/- each per month	192/-
3. Four musicians at Rs.3/- each per month	144/-
4. Padithara Diparadhana at 15/- per month	180/-
5. Rathotsavam	100/-
	Rs.736/-

4. Collection of fees upon cattle during the Jatra.

About 1,000 cattle usually collect and 100 shops are also opened at the place during the Jatra in Magha every year. To meet the expenses for sanitary arrangements and in the interest of the temple, it is necessary to levy fees on cattle and tax on shops as is done in the case of Sri Ranganathaswami Jatra. This may bring in an income of about Rs.150/- per annum for

M.Sp.

for the present.

5. To treat the temple as a ~~Mua~~ minor Muzrai Institution and appoint dharmadarsees of whom the Amildar should be one:-

The temple may be treated as minor Muzrai Institution and the marginally noted gentlemen appointed as Dharmadarsees, the Amildar being a Taluk Muzrai Officer will attend the meetings ex-officio, he need not be appointed a Dharmadarse.

. Mr. Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao,
2. " Panyam Rangaswami Sastri,
3. Patel Subbayya,
4. Mr. Kyathapuram Chinnayya Setti,
5. " T. Ramachendra Rao, Pleader.

3. The entire Vernacular records are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M A [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.

NICK KRISHNAMURTI RAO
MAGADI ZAMINDAR.

BASAVANGUDI,
BANGALORE CITY,
24th Nov: 1920



KARNICK KRISHNAMURTI RAO

MAGADI ZAMINDAR,
MEMBER OF THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

BASAVANGUDI,

BANGALORE CITY,

191



G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Furnishes replies on the several points raised by the D.C. in connection with the repairs & improvements required for the Sri Yoganarasimhaswami temple at Nagapattinam

Diary No. of letter replied to 1128/1920.

Section Puja
File No. 531/18
Serial No. 12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )
the 191 .

No. 2564 Revenue

Date of issue 24 Dec 1920

Signed by for Secretary

To

The Deputy Commr, Bangalore Dt.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. P. 605 dated 22nd November 1920 regarding the affairs of the Sri Yoganarasimhaswami temple at Nagadi and with reference to the several proposals made therein, I am to inform you as follows:—

It is not possible to assign such a large sum to [illegible] as

grant Rs. 7500 for the repairs the temple from the general repair funds.

over.

(4) Collection of fees cattle during the g

(4) Yours are pleased to accept the proposal to levy fees on cattle shops assembling at the gatwar. I am to request that you will be so good as to submit proposals for fixing a suitable scale for the sanction of Govt

(5) Treatment of the temple as a minor Mauzvar institution

(5) As regards the ~~appointment of Dharmadarsis~~ temple being treated as a minor Mauzvar instn and the appointment of Dharmadarsis thereto, I am to state that the question may be reconsidered after the temple is assessed of an annual income of amn Rs. 100, which will be only after ~~the~~ fees on cattle shops are ~~levied~~ realized

The enclosures received with your letter are herewith returned.

I have etc.,

Secretary to Govt,
Mauzvar Dept.

13.1.21

13/1/21

13/1/21

WD 1249—GPB . 10,000—25-1-19

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General Revenue Secretariat,

Bangalore the 2672 1920-21

GEN & REV. 2.- JUN 1921

No. S 22130-6 (1) R.A. 38.20-1

Judicial Section.

Subject: Recommendation of Srinivasa Temple Committee in the matter of the petition of [illegible] for permission to [illegible] Muzrai Secretariat Department for favour of submission [illegible] to Government.

2. The Committee of Management of Srinivasa Temple should be informed confidentially that Government are not prepared to accept the recommendation.

3. [illegible] Government [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

12521

P 605
20 21 Pile

.Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
.Dated Camp Mysore, 11th October 1921.

From

Rev. A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

REVD
7 OCT 1921

1450

21 22
Inam
531-18
#314

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2564/Muz.531-18-12 dated 20th/24th January 1921, re: the certain improvements to Sri Someswaraswami temple at Magadi, I have the honor to submit herewith the records ending with - the Closepet Sub Division Officer's No.H.C.369 of 19-20 dated the 28th/30th September 1921 and to state as follows:-

1. A fee of one anna per head of cattle and a shop tax at rates noted in the margin may be levied as in the case of Sri Narasimhaswami Jatra at Suggenahalli.

1. Sweetmeats Shop	.. Rs.3-0-0.
2. Brass and Copper Vessels shop.	.. Rs.2-0-0.
3. Kambli Sellers.	.. Rs.2-0-0.
4. Retail Cloth-merchants.	.. Rs.1-0-0.
5. Petty Shops.	.. Rs.0-8-0.

2. The Amildar reports that Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthi and other devotees are unable to deposit the cost of acquisition unless the right of disposing of the sites is reserved to them as also the right of collecting tax on cattle etc. I think that such - concessions do not appear to be feasible in the interest of the Institution.

3. The sanction to levy the fee on cattle and the tax on shops may kindly be obtained.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A K Syed Taj Peeran

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Municipal Dept
Bangalore

Dated 11-10-21

Despatched

Received

General No. P. 605-
No. 20-21

Enclosure No. 1 petn

Submits records re- the
certain improvements to Sri Sow
Sowri Temple at Nagasandra and
obtain sanction to levy the fee
on cattle & tax on sheep during
Jatra

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... 9

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue

Signed by

Section. Diary
File No. 531-18
Serial No 15

D O No. 3234

Mem 531 A-15
By. 31-12-21

To

My dear Sir

I am desired to invite reference to Mr. Syed Taj Peeran's D.O. letter no. P605 of 21-22 dated 23-11-21 returning to me duly approved my memo* regarding the improvements Etc, required for the

over.

* herewith enclosed for reference and return.

Sri Someswara Swami
temple at Magadi and
also to his letter no P.
605 of 20-21 dated 11-10-1921

I am to request
you will kindly favour
Government with your
views on all the matters
referred to therein ~~at a very Early date~~.

A draft of an
order put up by the
office is also Enclosed
herein as it brings out
the points at issue

Yours sincerely

30/12/21

for Secretary

30/12/21

B. Ramaswamaiya Esq B.A. B.L
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

CC 29/9/17

2 73

P. 605. 11-22 ans.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 23rd November 1921.

Dear Mr.Jayarama Iyer,

I herewith return after scoring out the last portion, the note about Sri Someswaraswami Temple at -- Lagadi, received with your letter of the 21st instant.

Yours Sincerely,
A Sreenivasa Murthy

AS

Subs
The enumerated file is with the Secy. This is subs in continuation
C.S.
9/12/21

Agreement reached on
10 – 11. 1921:

(1) Fr. Ostrica
for the
temple, which are immediately
necessary and which cannot
be put off any longer
Sanctioned immediately,
B 2000 being lent from
Some Muzzai institution
a B 1000 being given as
a State grant.
The former being responsible
for one of the leading figures of
the Fascist

(2) Acquaintance Charges
Jobs does she be
Sanctions or Collection
(3) by Salutation deny
appt of Statement
maybe per M until
the income is assured
(4) Acquaintance per M for until
the present next
year
(5) Rs 25 — May be Sanctions
provided the from the Collection upon
from the Realization

Bangalore

Recd Just
Nov. 4.10 pm
28/10/21

1628

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Camp Closepet, 27th October'21.

Dear Mr. Jayarama Iyer,

With reference to our appointment for tomorrow in connection with the Someswaraswami Temple affairs, I consider that it would be better for me to see the place first in company with Mr.Karnick Krishnamurthi to understand the local circumstances with a view to give a definite - opinion on the points pending consideration. As I have received a report of a very severe outbreak of " Relapsing Fever " in a village near Sarjapur in the Anekal Taluk, I am going there tomorrow with the Sanitary Commissioner if possible. I have written to Mr.Karnick Krishnamurthi to meet me at Magadi if convenient on Monday the 31st. I shall return to Bangalore on the 2nd and hope to see you on the 3rd proximo at 4 P.M. in your office.

Yours sincerely,

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

3094

21 . 22

531-18 16

IMMEDIATE.

P. 695

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, 21 February 192[illegible].

Dear Sir,

In continuation of my D.O.Letter (Camp)No.C of the 27th ult'imo, stating that I would send up my proposals for the improvements of the Sri Someswaraswami temple at Magadi soon after the current year's car festival, I write to state that Dr.Karnik Krishnamurti Rao has since presented a petition to me praying that a committee composed of the marginally noted five gentlemen may be permitted to collect voluntary contributions to repair the temple building, from the devotees during the ensuing car festival, which comes off on the 10th instant.

1. Dr.Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao,
2. ,, DoraVeeraiyana Nanjundappa,
3. ,, Singri Muddaranga Setti,
4. ,, M.S.Krishna Rao,
5. ,, Rangasami Sastri.

Considering the status of the proposed committee members, it seems to me that there is no objection to grant the permission asked for, having regard particularly to the fact that they have to collect towards the cost of repairs etc. of the temple, for which they have been agitating from a very long time. The committee will, of course be directed to grant proper receipts to persons contributing, and to keep regular accounts and submit them for the examination of the Collector.

I request that the approval of Government may be accorded to the proposal and the same kindly intimated to me as soon as possible as the Jatra is very near at hand.

Yours Sincerely,

D. Ramaswamaiah

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Inam Department.

D.O. No. C. 6

3093

Camp Soluri

27th January 1922

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O letter No 3234 dated 30th Dec 1921, regarding improvements to the Sri Someswaraswami Temple at Nagadi, I beg to state that I visited the temple yesterday and made some enquiries. The annual car-festival of this Institution is being conducted for the past 3 or 4 years, at which a cattle fair is also being held,

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

Correspondence ending with letter No.P.605/20-21, dated the 2nd February '22, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, stating that Mr. Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao, has ~~since~~ presented a petition to him praying that a committee composed of the marginally noted 5 gentlemen may be permitted to collect Voluntary contributions from the devotees of the Sri Someswaraswami temple at Magadi during the ensuing Jatra which comes off on the 10th Instant, in order to repair the building, and recommending that this proposal may be approved.

X

Mr.Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao
" Dara Veeraiyana Nanjundappa
" Singri Muddaranga Setti
X M.S.Krishna Rao
" Rangasami Sastri

Order -- No. 4237-9
Jmer 531-18-17
Dated Bangalore, the 6.2.22

The recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner is approved. The Committee should be asked to grant receipts for the amounts contributed and keep regular accounts and submit them to the local authorities.

Recd 6.2.22

2. The Submission of the report called for from the Deputy Commissioner in this office ~~his~~ letter No.~~3~~ 3234/Muz.531-18-15 dated 21st December 1921 should be expedited.

By order &c.,
Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.
6/2/22

To-The Deputy Commr., Bangalore Dist.
" Comptroller to Govt.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 14th March 1922.

From

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No.4237-9/Muz.531-18-17 dated 6th February 1922 sanctioning the prayer of Mr.Karnick KrishnamurtiRao to collect voluntary contributions from the devotees of the Sri Someswaraswami temple at Magadi during the current year's Jatra, in order to repair the temple building, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the petition dated 21st February 1922 of Mr.Karnick KrishnamurtiRao, representing that very little work was done during the jatra as the -- Government order was received late. The applicant prays that the committee formed to collect contributions may be permitted to do so from all devotees in the State and I think that there is no objection to comply with the request for a limited time, say, till the end of June 1922, so long as the permission is properly used, of which there seems to be no doubt, as Mr.Krishnamurti Rao is evidently very anxious to improve the temple.

I request that early orders of Government may be obtained in the -- matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

ఇక్కడ ఖాళీగా ఉన్న పోస్టులను భర్తీ చేయడానికి
ఈ ప్రభుత్వం ఏమి చేస్తుంది అని కోరుతున్నాను.

ఇంకా, కొత్తగా ఏర్పడిన ఇతర ఏ జిల్లాలలో
ఈ కార్యాలయాలు ఏర్పాటు చేయబోతున్నారు అనే
విషయం కూడా తెలియజేయవలసిందిగా కోరుతున్నాను.

(sd) K. Krishnamurthy Rao.

తేదీ 20.2.22

For Deputy Commissioner

Presented on behalf
by Mr. Kamaraj Krishnamurthy

24.3.22

T.V.

1

2

3

4

2022-3-22.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Informs the D.C. that Agent have no objn. to the Committee appointed by Agent of collecting centres from Estates for the repairs of Sri Someswaraswami temple at [illegible]

Diary No. of letter replied to 2945 of 21-22

Section
File No.
Serial No 20

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191 .

No.

Date of issue

To The Deputy Commr., Bangalore

Signed by

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. P. 605 of 21-22 dated 14th March 1922 forwarding copy of a petition presented by Mr. Kamick Krishnamurti Rao regarding the collection of voluntary contributions from the devotees of the Sri Someswaraswami temple at Nagadi, I am directed to state that Agent

over.

Rao

have no objection to the Committee appointed in your order No. 4237-9/ Mazr. 531-18-17 dated the 6th Feby 1922 continuing to collect ~~voluntary~~ Voluntary contributions from the devotees of the temple for its ex. pairs till the end of June 1922 ~~so long as the permission is properly used.~~

I have etc.,

21/3/22

Secretary to Govt,
Mazrai Dept

20/3/22

Rec
27.3.22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Dated Bangalore, 17th June 1922.

M.O.S.-1/20-21

From

B.Ramaswamayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

5749

Inwd. 21.22

531-18-22

To

A.V.Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,
in charge,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.5478/Muz.531-18-21 dated 1st April 1922, forwarding for report the petition of Mr.Karnik Krishnamoorthi Rao, re concessions in the matter of collecting subscriptions for the repairs of the Sri SomeswaraSwami Temple at Magadi, I have the honor to state that out of the marginally noted four points that are urged by the petitioner, the first prayer so far as it relates to collections in the State has already been granted in your letter No.5329/Muz. 531-18-20 dated 30th March 1922. The second prayer has it seems to me not much force and has to be declined. As regards the third prayer the present time limit expires at the end of June 1922. It may be extended for one year more for the present.

The committee may be authorised to collect subscriptions both in and outside the Mysore State.
The accounts to be submitted to the Deputy Commissioner and not to the Amildar
There should be no time limit to collect subscriptions.
The committee may be authorized to spend the surplus amount for several sevas etc.

The last prayer may be reserved for consideration when the full contribution for the repairs of the temple is paid up according to the estimate.

The petition received with your letter is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Ramaswamayya

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

...P 605-/20-4 Dated Bangalore, 17th June 1922.

From

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

5-150
MWR-24-22
531-18-23

To

T.V.Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,
in charge,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your D.O.letter No.3234 of the 30th December 1921, forwarding for my views a draft of the Government order proposed to be issued re the Sri Someswaraswami temple at Magadi, and in continuation of my D.O.letter Camp No.6 of the 27th January 1922, I have the honor to state that I was present at the time of the current year's Jatra held on the 12th February 1922, when it was observed that there was a fair collection of cattle. The number was estimated at about 500 by the Amildar, but he subsequently told me that the number went up to 700. Sir M.Kantharaj Urs, the Dewan was pleased to pay a visit to the temple towards the evening, and seemed to be impressed with the representations of Mr.Karnik Krishnamurti Rao.

2. I beg to submit herewith a Memo showing the modifications which may, in my opinion, be made in the proposed draft. As the devotees have since been able to collect about Rs.2000/- and are prepared to contribute towards the repairs, I would recommend that the estimate may be sanctioned and a provision of about Rs.3,000/- made in the coming year's budget, so as to enable the work to be commenced next month if possible.

3. The enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith

From

To.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Memo showing the modifications which may be made in the draft of the Government order, re the Sri Someswara Temple at Magadi.

--o0o--

To be added at the end of para 2.

"Since a Renovation Committee for the collection of funds for the repairs of temple, has been sanctioned in Government order No.4237-9/Muz.531-18-17 of the 6th February 1922, Government will grant a sum not exceeding Rs.4,000/-, equal to the subscrip--tions collected and deposited in the Treasury, by the said Committee".

To be added at the end of para 3.

"Hence, the request for the restoration of villages as Inam to the temple is rejected"

The portion from - "As for the acquisition ....." may be numbered as para 4 and at its end, the following sentence may be added:-

"The survey No.in question will be acquired for the temple, as soon as sufficient funds are made available for the purpose by the Committee"

A para containing the orders of Government re the increase of Paditara may be added. The present Paditara is Rs.64/- per annum. It may be kindly increased to Rs.100/-. This para may be numbered as 5.

The paras numbered 4 and 5 in the draft may be altered to 6 and 7 respectively and the portion commencing from - "And as the devotees" up to the end may be left out.

D Ramaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

94.
22-23

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

P 605/20-21.

Dated Bangalore, 6th July 1922

Mun 7 531-18-24

From

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., P.I.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Mudrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office No.P.605 of 20-21 dated 17th June 1922 re the improvements to be made to the Sri Someswara Swami temple at Nagadi, I have the honor to recommend the request of Mr.Karnik Krishnamurti, praying that the fees on cattle and tax on shops during the Jatra may be levied at rates not exceeding those levied during the Jatra of the Sri Ranganatha-Swami at Tirumale. They are as follows:-

Two annas on every head of cattle,

One anna on every calf.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Mail Invoices have been
Sanctioned vide Govt: Notifications no 2976-7 dated
14th March 1904.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your obedient
Servant

D.E

To
S. Seshasastri Esq
District Treasurer
Vizagapatam

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

Petition received from time to time from Mr. Karnik Krishnamurti Rao of Magadi regarding the improvements required for the Sri Someswaraswamy temple at Magady and the arrangements to be made for the conduct of regular worship therein

Correspondence ending with letter No.605 of 20-21 dated the 6th July 1922

ıer, Bangalore

ıort in the

are/Mo
Sr. are/wd
31-18-28

the 18 July 1922

of Mr. K.

ollows:-

500/- be provid-

temple;

f Sripathihalli

once Inam to

:he institution;

.n extent round

Rathotsavam

ır building

ıh grant of Rs.

for Paditara

scale of

temple.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

Petitions received from time to time from Mr. Karnik Krishnamurti Rao of Magadi regarding the improvements required for the Sri Someswaraswamy temple at Magady and the arrangements to be made for the conduct of regular worship therein

Correspondence ending with letter No.605 of 20-21 dated the 6th July 1922 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitting a report in the matter.

Order -- No. 22/-3 Sri ordered
Mys: 531-18-25

Dated Bangalore, the 18th July 1922.

The representations of Mr. K. Krishna Murti Rao are as follows:-

1. That a sum of Rs.7,500/- be provided for the repairs of the temple;

2. that the villages of Sripathihalli and Jattanhalli which were once Inam to the temple be restored to the institution;

3. that land 100 feet in extent round the temple be acquired for Rathotsavam and 100 feet in addition for building houses,

4. that the present cash grant of Rs. 64/- per annum be enhanced for Paditara and Diparadhane and that a scale of expenditure be fixed in the temple.

5. that fees on cattle and shops be levied at the annual jatra in order to improve the financial position of the temple.

6. that the temple be treated as a minor institution and Dharmadarsis appointed thereto.

These representations were brought forward in the Representative Assembly and also formed the subject of interpellations in the Legislative Council.

2. The Muzrai Superintendent paid a visit to the temple in July 1917 and has placed on record the unsatisfactory condition of the building and the inadequacy of the funds at the credit of the institution both for meeting the cost of its repairs and the regular conduct of services therein. The Director of Archaeological Researches who was consulted in the matter says that the temple was built in 1712 by a member of the Kempe Gowda family, that it is a large Dravidian temple with two mantapams which show good work and that though not of much antiquarian or archaeological interest, it may be included in the list of monuments to be preserved at the cost of Government. But the Chief Engineer says that no funds can be provided from the P.W.D. budget for the work.

A renovation Committee for the collection of funds for the repairs of the temple was sanctioned in Government order No.4237-9/Muz.531-18-17 dated 6th February 1922 and it is reported that it has collected a sum of Rs.2000/- for the purpose. Government will ~~consequently~~ be pleased to grant an equal sum, the charge being met from the state grant of the

year 1922-23. This sum will be credited to the temple funds as soon as the sum collected by the renovation committee is deposited in the Treasury. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit an estimate within the available sum of Rs.4000/- for the very urgent repairs of the temple.

3. As regards the representation that the villages of Sripathihalli and Jattanhalli were once inam to the temple and may now be restored thereto, the Deputy Commissioner says that except a 'Sasana' on one of the stones in the Garbhagudi, there are no references available to show that the two villages were at any time inams endowed to the temple and that the Inam Superintendent has also said that these two villages are Government villages and were so at the time of the Inam Settlement also. ~~Hence,~~ The request for the restoration of the villages as inam to the temple is rejected.

4. As for the acquisition of land round the temple, the Deputy Commissioner states that a Kharab of 5 guntas is deducted out of the Government assessed land S.No.4 of Vaddarpalya for the location of the temple and that there are no references to show that the land belonged to the temple at any time. He however recommends that the whole of the S.No. may be acquired for the purpose of Rathotsava and for building houses for which there is a great demand

but reports that Mr. Krishnamurti Rao and other devotees are unwilling to deposit the cost of acquisition unless the right of disposing of sites and of collecting taxes on shops and cattle is reserved to them.

The S.No. in question will be acquired for the temple as soon as sufficient funds are made available for the purpose by the committee.

5. The Deputy Commissioner also brings to notice that the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Tirumale have resolved that a sum of Rs.25/- per mensem may be paid from the funds of the Tirumale temple to the Sri Someswaraswami temple for 5 years on condition that the total amount should be repaid at the end of that period, and recommends the levy of a fee of two annas per head of cattle and one anna on every calf collecting at the annual jatra and on the shop taxes on the same scale as that sanctioned for the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Tirumale, Magadi Taluk.

He also recommends that the present cash grant of Rs.64/- per annum may be enhanced to Rs.100/- per annum.

The Muzrai Superintendent, the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. and Mr. K. Krishnamurti Rao also discussed these points at a meeting arranged for the purpose.

Government regret that as there is no provision in the Muzrai Budget from which the enhancement of the cash grant can be sanctioned they cannot see their way to sanction the

Deputy Commissioner's recommendations in this respect. They are however pleased to sanction the levy of fees on shops and cattle as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner as per Kannada list appended to this order. The Deputy Commissioner will submit a report of the actual collections and expenditure at the jatra every year as soon as the occasion is over. They have also no objection to a loan of Rs.25/- per mensem being given from the Tirumale temple to the Sri Someswaraswami temple for a period of 5 years. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to fix in consultation with the Muzrai Superintendent, a scale of expenditure in the temple at an early date and to see that the loan is paid off at the end of the period. The question of treating the temple as a minor institution and appointing Dharmadarsis therefor will be considered after th total income of the temple is found to be Rs.100/- or more per year.

6. Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao has since represented that the renovation committee referred to in para 2 above which was allowed time only till 30th June 1922 to collect subscriptions may be allowed to continue to collect subscriptions without any limit of time and that in modification of the present order that they should submit ~~xxx~~ accounts to the Taluk Amildar they may be permitted to submit ~~xxxx~~ them to the Deputy Commissioner and

that they may be authorised to spend the surplus amount for several sevas etc. The Deputy Commissioner states that time for the collection of subscriptions may be extended upto 30th June 1923, that there is not much force in the representation about the authority to whom accounts should be submitted and that the last prayer may be reserved for consideration when the full contribution for the repairs of the temple is paid up.

Government agree with the Deputy Commissioner in his views. He is requested to inform Mr. K. Krishnamurti Rao accordingly.

12/7/22

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

12/7/22

To

The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.
" Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
" Comptroller to Government.

Memo No. 15185 dt 12.10.22

GKV

Copy of the above forwarded with enquiry to the Chief Engineer Mysore for information favour of taking necessary action

S. T. Narasimhaiya
Asst Secy

12/10/22

B.S.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 21st August 1922.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B. A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., I. C. S.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

The Government having in their order No. 221 X 4211-3/Muz.531-18-25 dated 18th July 1922 sanctioned the proposal to acquire survey No.4 of Vaddarapalya village in the Nagadi Taluk for the improvement of the Sri Someswara Swami temple at Nagadi, I have the honor to submit herewith a declaration statement (in English and Kanarese in duplicate) for the acquisition of the said land and to request that Government may be addressed in the matter of the approval of the declaration and publication in the Gazette.

2. The Sub-Division officer, Nelamangala Sub-Division may be authorized to take order for the acquisition of the property.

3. The probable cost of acquisition is estimated at Rs.1200 - and the Temple Renovation Committee formed in Government order No. 4237-9/Muz.531-18-17 dated 6th February 1922 have agreed to bear the cost. The members have already collected Rs.1217-5-0 mostly in the shape of Mysore Government loan Bonds of 1920-40 and are ready to deposit the Bonds in the Government Treasury, if called upon to do so. Proposals will be submitted to Government in the Muzrai Department to advance on the security of the bonds the amount at present from some other Institution which has sufficient funds at its credit.

credit.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Forwarded, with compliments, to the
Secretary to Government.

No. E 646 Rev-23 - Inam Enfranchisement Act

Forwarded, with compliments, to the
Revenue Secretary to Government for
information.

for

23/8/23

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... ... ...

... .

....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1745

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue

Signed by

Section Jurs
File No. 5 31
Serial No 4918
2 / 5

No 1129 Rec / 5

To

over.



List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

P. 605
20-21.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, 19th September '22.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.1178/Muz.531-18 dated 12th instant asking me to intimate to you whether the amount required for the payment of compensation for the lands proposed to be acquired for the benefit of the Sri SomeswaraSwami Temple at Magadi has been paid by the persons interested in the matter, I write to say that a sum of Rs.3005-13-0 has been collected by the said temple Renovation Committee, of which a sum of Rs.1600/- is in the shape of State Loan bonds and the balance Rs.1405-13-0 in cash. The latter has been deposited in the Magadi Town Co-operative Society in the name of the Sri SomeswaraSwami temple Committee. The cost of acquisition will be met out of this amount.

Yours Sincerely,

For Dy Commissioner
Absent from H.Q.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Copied by

Examined by

C: R. S. VI.—a/5]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Misc.

File No. 531-18

Serial No. 27

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, *the*..... .....191 .

READ—

~~Do~~ Letter No. C.C.S.-20-21

dated 24.8.22 ....from the.. Dy. Com.r, Bangalore. Dist.

recommending sanction to the acquisition of the undermentioned property/properties for .. the improvement of Sri. Someshwara Swami Kanapura at. Mapadi

Date of issue..... 9.10.22

Signed by..... Sd. J. E. Aiyar

ORDER THEREON:—No. 1437-9 Sec.

The acquisition of the property recommended in the above is sanctioned, the.. Sub Asst. Commr. Closest Sub Divn. being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land Acquisition Regulation and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said property/properties

S. no. 9 of Varthapalya the Mapadi Jahagir.

2. The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be forwarded to the Compiler of the *Mysore Gazette* for publication.

3. The cost of acquisition amounting to Rs. Nil exclusive/inclusive of the statutory allowance may as proposed be met from provision [illegible] made under..... in the current year's budget.

Secretary to Government.

List of enclosures.

Copied by . . ..

Examined by.....

WD 102176—GPB—2,000—27 4 16